

Transient nucleus growth in liquid crystals Bastiaan A.H. Huisman^{1,*}, Annalisa Fasolino^{1,2}



University of Amsterdam

¹ Van 't Hoff Institute for Molecular Sciences, University of Amsterdam. Nieuwe Achtergracht 166, 1018 WV Amsterdam.

00:05:1

00:05:4

00:05 6

00:05 8

² Theory of Condensed Matter, Institute for Molecules and Materials, Radboud University Nijmegen. Toernooiveld 1, 6525 ED Nijmegen.

huisman@science.uva.nl

Motivation

 In the 1st order isotropic to nematic transition of liquid crystals the radius of a nematic domain grows as $R \propto t^n$, where *n* has been found^{1,2} to depend on the undercooling $\Delta T = T - T_c$, where T_c is the coexistence temperature

Latent heat and nucleus growth

For a first order phase transition the change of phase is accompanied by

The radius of a nematic domain grows as $R \propto t^{1/2}$ for low undercooling.

• The radius grows as $R \propto t^1$ for high undercooling. • $n(\Delta T)$ resembles an S-curve as a function of ΔT

experimen 0.7 0.6uench rate 3 K min 0.4 0.2 $\Delta T(K)$

RIGHT: Nematic germs of PCH5 growing out of the isotropic phase. In the lower right corner of each picture the time (in seconds and milliseconds) after the temperature quench is given. The undercooling ΔT is 0.15K. Figure and caption adapted from ref. 1

LEFT: Experimentally determined growth exponent n as a function of undercooling ΔT . Errors are in the order of the size of the symbols. The data confirm the theoretically predicted change of n=1/2 for Δ T=0 K to $n \rightarrow 1$ for large quench depths. Figure and caption adapted from ref. 2

Radius of the growing nucleus

Here we show the radius R(t) of a growing nucleus, found by integrating Eqs. (1) and (2) for different values of the undercooling temperature Δu in 1D, 2D and 3D. Note that R(t) can be described by a power law only for long times. Also note that for high undercooling the growth equals $R \propto t^1$ and for low undercoolings $\ R \propto t^{1/2}$.



 $(1)\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 m - 2m(m-1)(2m-1) + \delta u m \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = 0.4$ (2) $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2p} \nabla^2 u + 2m \frac{\partial m}{\partial t}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \delta = \frac{\xi_m}{3\gamma} \frac{L_c}{c_p} \frac{L_c}{T_c} \frac{\rho_c}{M_W} \\ p = \sqrt{2\gamma} \frac{M_W}{\rho_c} \frac{T_c}{L_c} \frac{\beta}{D_T} \end{bmatrix}$

Time dependent Landau Ginzburg 🗄 -0.4 equation for the evolution of the order $\frac{1}{2}$ -0.8 parameter field based on the free energy. (2) Heat diffusion equation $(u=c_p(T-T_c)/L)$ with the change in the order parameter as the latent heat source.

We solve Eq. (1) analytically^{4,5}. We integrate Eq. (2) numerically in spherical coordinates, using the solution to Eq. (1).



LEFT: For undercooling $-1 < \Delta u < 0$ the latent heat warms up the interface to near the coexistence temperature u=0. The growth is limited by thermal diffusion, and therefore R will eventually grow as $R\propto t^{1/2}$

RIGHT: For undercooling $\Delta u < -1$ the temperature at the front approaches a finite value $u=\Delta u+1$, and domains will grow as $R \propto t^1$.

Exponent of growth

• By fitting $R \propto t^n$ for different time intervals we study the time dependence of the growth exponent as a function of undercooling. The growth exponent follows an S-curve that sharpens with time.

BOTTOM: Radius $R-R_0$ (in dimensionless units) of a growing nucleus in one, two and three dimensions for different values of the undercooling Δu . Parameters used: δ =0.1, p=0.033. The liquid crystal 8CB is best described by δ =1.4, p=3.4·10⁻⁶.



Multiple time / length scales: scaling?

For liquid crystals the value of the parameter p in Eq. (1) is very small (10⁻⁶). • The temperature profile is much wider $\Xi_{-0.02}^{-0.02}$ than the order parameter profile. We would $\mathbb{H}_{-0.04}$



- The curvature of the nucleus increases n for low undercooling.
- Effect of this curvature diminishes with time as nucleus size

increases.

BOTTOM: Exponent of growth as a function of undercooling for 3 time intervals blue: $t=10^{4}-10^{5}$, red $t=10^{5}-10^{6}$, black $t=10^{6} - 10^{7}$, green: asymptotic curve. Same data as depicted in the figure to the left of this figure.



Applicability to liquid crystals

 The undercooling temperature T_c - L/c_p separating n=1/2 and n=1

Conclusions

• For low undercooling $T_c > T > T_c - L/c_p$ the asymptotic behavior is $R \propto t^{1/2}$, due to the diffusion of latent heat. • For high undercooling $T < T_c - L/c_p$ the asymptotic behavior is $R \propto t^1$. Because the time at which asymptotic behavior is reached depends on the undercooling, experimentally an S-curve is measured. Asymptotic behavior may never be reached because nuclei start to coalesce.

need in the order of 10^9 grid points and $h^{-0.05}$ integration over 10^{15} integration steps.

 In one dimension the growth velocity of the nucleus is proportional to the undercooling temperature u(R(t)) at the nucleus front R(t) and u(R(t)) scales approximately with the parameter p in 1D. • By integrating Eqs. (1) and (2) for a wide



TOP: Temperature at the front u(R(t)) estimated by rescaling simulations with different values of p(from $p=10^{-6}$ to p=0.1) for a realistic value of δ =1.4, Δu =-0.1 in 1D. In 1D the growth velocity is approx. proportional to the undercooling.

range of parameters p and rescaling the results, we can estimate u(R(t))for extremely low values of the parameter p.

• Our aim is to use this scaling to determine the S-curve of the exponent of growth for parameters and length-scales suitable to describe liquid crystals.

is in the range of liquid crystal (LC) nucleus growth.

 Although the latent heat is quite low in LC's, the thermal diffusivity is also very low.

 Surface tension is very low: S-curve will resemble the 1D simulation result.

• The p parameter is too small for numerical integration. Using a scaling relation may help to determine the applicability more precisely.

References

¹ K. Diekman, et al., Liq. Crystals **25**, 349 (1998) ² H.K. Chan and I Dierking, Phys. Rev. E **70**, 021703 (2004) ³ H. Lowen, J. Bechhoefer, L.S. Tuckerman. Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 2399 (1992). ⁴ A. Gordon, Physica B **138**, 239 (1986) ⁵ S.K. Chan, J. Chem. Phys **67**, 5755 (1977)

11 CT1T11TP $t \wedge t$ ρ ⁽¹¹⁾